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EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The objective of this document is to present deliverable 1.4 (D1.4)— “Scientific report on compound inclusion for trial” — developed by the Clinical Candidate Selection Committee. The purpose of this document is to report on the work done by the Virtual Pipeline (VP) and Candidate Selection Criteria (CSC) subcommittees of the Clinical Candidate Selection Committee (CCSC), and to describe the composition, and list the members, of the CCSC group that will perform the actual evaluation and selection of interventions nominated for inclusion in the EPAD PoC. The time range of this report is from the beginning of the preliminary solicitation process in December 2015 through March 2016. It should be noted that interventions listed in this report are subject to change as information regarding the interventions (i.e., suitability, intervention review using the scoring guide, discussion with CCSC selection committee, etc.) occur.

D1.4 is an extension of D1.2. In D1.2\(^1\), the CCSC presented the guidelines (CCSC compound selection criteria) and the process for selecting potential interventions for use in the Proof of Concept (PoC) trial (CCSC process flow), which will utilize the evaluative measures presented in D1.1\(^2\) and more recently modified in D1.3\(^3\).

This document comprises three sections:

1. The first section presents an update on the CCSC process flow.
2. Section two lists Candidate Selection Committee members who will perform the actual evaluations and selections.
3. The last section reports on the progress made toward the development of the virtual pipeline.
1. Update on the CCSC Process Flow

The purpose of the Process Flow, originally presented in D1.2, is to ensure a procedure for the solicitation, nomination, and the selection of interventions for use in the PoC.

Table 1. Update on the Process Flow by Item as of March 2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Solicitation for Potential Interventions</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>First wave of materials were sent in December 2015*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Review of Preliminary Interventions (Solicited)</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Review of returned questionnaires (total of 7) and discussions with</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>nominators of suitable interventions are scheduled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Review of Potential Interventions (Unsolicited)</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>1 company expressed interest in nominating an intervention. CCSC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>materials were sent and awaiting further information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>CCSC Meets with Owners of Potential Interventions</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Several meetings have occurred with interested companies to further</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>discuss the EPAD project and suitability of their intervention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Notification of the EPAD CDG</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Confidentiality Agreements in Place</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>For those companies who are expected to go for CCSC review, CDAs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>are being prepared and signed by committee members</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Development of the Virtual Pipeline</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Tracking database developed, which will be updated as companies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>express interest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Submission of Nomination Form</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>CCSC Preliminary Review</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>CCSC Full Review</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>EPAD Appendix/Amendment Reviewed and Approved</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Appendix &amp; Amendment Drafted</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>PoC Trial Begins</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>Sep/Oct 2017 (as per DoW)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*See table 3 for current status of the Virtual Pipeline
2. Members of the CCSC

As stated in D1.2, “The actual evaluation and selection of nominated interventions, which comprises the ongoing executive function of the group, will be conducted by the formally constituted CCSC with membership as prescribed in the Project Agreement” (see note 1). The group is responsible for the actual evaluations and selection of potential interventions. This group consists of six members: 2 from non-EFPIA EPAD partners; one representing EFPIA (as an industry representative but not as a member of an EFPIA company); one EMA observer (non-voting role); one external independent observer (non-voting role); and one representing the owner of the nominated intervention. The current composition of the group is listed in the following table. These members are committed to serving for one year; subsequently, they may elect to continue, or other representatives of the prescribed groups will be selected. In its first official meeting, the group will select a chairperson. To the extent that a discussion will take place or decision will be made concerning a non-IMP related matter, the Clinical Candidate Selection Committee will also consist of one representative with voting rights from an EFPIA Participant (currently designated as Andrew Satlin, Eisai, member of the EPAD Executive Committee).

Table 2. Core Members of the Nomination Committee*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Role</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Philip Scheltens</td>
<td>EPAD Member</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Craig Ritchie</td>
<td>EPAD Member</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Richard Bergstrom</td>
<td>EFPIA Director General (i.e., industry representative)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Maria Isaac</td>
<td>EMA Representative (non-voting)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Chas Bountra</td>
<td>Independent Observer, Academia (non-voting)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: The sixth member of the committee will always be a representative of the nominating company.

3. Development of the Virtual Pipeline

Preliminary Solicitation materials (i.e., CCSC FAQ document, EPAD Value Proposition Slides, and CCSC Process Flow) were sent to potential nominators in December 2015. Table 3 presents a snapshot of the current progress of the development of the Virtual Pipeline (VP) as of March 2016. Currently, there are five interventions being discussed as potential nominations.

Table 3. Status of the Virtual Pipeline

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Cases</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General Interest &amp; In Further Discussion</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Plans to Submit or No Available Intervention</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Notes

1 Deliverable 1.2 – https://epadpm.teamwork.com/files/2141396
2 Deliverable 1.1 – https://epadpm.teamwork.com/files/1885537
3 Deliverable 1.3 – https://epadpm.teamwork.com/files/2243376